

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2012-008340-001 DT

08/17/2016

HON. ROSA MROZ

CLERK OF THE COURT  
J. Matlack  
Deputy

STATE OF ARIZONA

PATRICIA L STEVENS  
MITCHELL S EISENBERG

v.

KURT DUSTIN COLEMAN (001)

RICHARD K MILLER  
GREGORY J NAVAZO

CAPITAL CASE MANAGER

MINUTE ENTRY

**State's Request for Clarification Regarding This Court's July 27, 2016 Rulings**

The Court has considered the State's Request for Clarification Regarding This Court's July 27, 2016 Rulings, the Defendant's Response, and the State's Reply. The Court does not need oral argument to decide this issue.

The State requests clarification on whether the Court's ruling on the State's Motion to Admit Evidence under Rule 404(b) applied only to the guilt phase. The Court's ruling applies only to the guilt phase.

The State requests reciprocal disclosure orders for both parties based on the Court's ruling on the Defendant's Motion to Compel Impeachment Evidence and Defendant's Motion for Discovery Relating to Disclosed State's Witnesses. The Defendant does not object. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the Court's rulings on those two motions apply equally to the defense.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2012-008340-001 DT

08/17/2016

The State is not seeking to clarify the Court's ruling on the Defendant's Motion to Preclude Witnesses but to clarify the record as to the role and disclosure of witnesses Lt. Withrow and Ms. Evans. As there is nothing for the Court to clarify, the Court will simply affirm that the State may call Lt. Withrow and Ms. Evans as witnesses. The State may consider its filing in this Motion regarding Lt. Withrow and Ms. Evans clarified for the record.